

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA**

*Young Life v. Assessor of Area #8 et al,*  
2005 BCSC 1079

Date: 20050923  
Docket: L050530  
Registry: Vancouver

IN THE MATTER OF THE ASSESSMENT ACT R.S.B.C. 1996, CHAPTER 20.  
SECTION 64 AND AMENDMENTS THERETO

AND

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPEAL TO THE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT APPEAL  
BOARD OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

Between:

**YOUNG LIFE**

**APPELLANT**

And

**ASSESSOR OF AREA #08 – NORTH SHORE/SQUAMISH VALLEY**

**RESPONDENT**

AND IN THE MATTER OF THE DECISIONS OF THE BOARD  
DATED THE 6<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JANUARY, 2005 AND THE 13<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JANUARY,  
2005  
IN SUCH APPEAL

Before: The Honourable Justice E.A. Arnold-Bailey

**Corrigendum to Reasons for Judgment**

Counsel for Young Life/Appellant

J. D. Fraser

Counsel for Assessor of Area #08  
/Respondent

G. P. Holeksa

Date and Place of Hearing:

May 18, 19, and 20, 2005  
Vancouver, B.C.

[1] In Reasons for Judgment dated July 19, 2005, there were several minor errors brought to my attention, which I am correcting.

[2] On page 1, the Counsel for Assessor of Area #08/Respondent was “G.P. Holeska”, but should read “G.P. Holeksa”.

[3] On page 2, para. 4, the word “assessable” should read, “accessible”.

[4] On page 32, para. 67, the words “Counsel for the Board” should read, “Counsel for the Assessor”, and in para. 68, the words, “English court of Appeal”, should read “English Court of Appeal”.

[5] I decline to make further changes as requested by counsel for the respondent.

[6] Having been under a misapprehension that counsel had reached an agreement as to costs of the appeal, I did not make an order regarding costs. That not being so, I find that the Respondent is entitled to costs at scale 3.

---

Madam Justice Arnold-Bailey